## Moratorium On Cannabis Dispensaries in Inland Zoning Area

CITY COUNCIL MEETING

SEPTEMBER 27, 2021

# Interim Urgency Zoning Ordinances or Moratoriums

- □ California Government Code Section 65858 authorizes cities and counties to adopt urgency ordinances to prevent uses of property that would violate a pending or contemplated land use measure that a city or county is studying or reviewing.
- ☐ Provides time for city or county to consider potential zoning ordinances and if appropriate adopt.
- ☐ Pending applications would not be approved during the moratorium but could continue to be processed until after the ordinance is adopted and effective.
- $\square$  Requires 4/5 vote for adoption and for any extension.

### Urgency Ordinance Timeline

- ☐ Initial Moratorium is only effective for 45-days.
- ☐ Prior to expiration or any extension, staff on behalf of City Council must issue a report to describe measures taken to alleviate the condition that led to adoption.
- □ City Council may extend the ordinance by up to ten months and 15 days, with an additional extension of 1 year, or once for 22 months and 15 days. A total of up to two years.
- □City Council may rescind the ordinance at any time, prior to expiration.

#### Moratoriums are not Takings

- □ Tahoe-Sierra Preservation Council, Inc. v. Tahoe Regional Planning Agency 535 U.S. 302 (2002)
- ☐ Holding: Development that is paused during the process of creating comprehensive land-use plan is not a taking that requires fair compensation.
- ☐ The Tahoe Regional Planning Agency imposed two moratoriums for a total of 32 months that prevented any development in the Lake Tahoe area.

## Cannabis Dispensary Considerations

☐ Unresolved issue of "Youth Center" and whether a buffer should be established?
☐ Consider a cap on the number of Cannabis Dispensaries and/or establish a limit of distance required between?
☐Compatibility with residential uses or "family oriented" businesses?
☐Should dispensaries be limited to Main Street?
☐ Does the City Council want to present the Voters with the option to tax Cannabis Businesses?
☐ Limitations or parameters for accessory uses and microbusinesses?
☐ Further limit hours of operation?

#### Consistency

- ☐General Plan and Inland Land Use Code
- □Council vision, policy and goals
- □Original Ordinance recommended for adoption by Planning Commission and adopted by City Council unanimously
- □ Interpretation of ordinance was limited to 3 of 5 Planning Commissioners with no action by City Council

## "Business Friendly"

- ☐ Established as a City Council Goal in 2019
- □ Not necessarily the need to approve all businesses within City limits
- ☐ Strategic planning is a part of land use process
- ☐ Transparency of the Land Use Codes and interpretations to potential businesses and developers about what development is allowed and where is business friendly.
- ☐ Predictability and reasonable risk assessment

#### Options

- ☐ Adopt a 45-day moratorium on Cannabis Dispensaries
  - ☐ In all Commercial Districts where Cannabis is allowed, or
  - ☐ Limit it to the Central Business District
- ☐ Not adopt the moratorium
- ☐ Bring back a resolution that makes a determination on whether the City Hall Gymnasium is a "youth center"
- ☐ Whether the moratorium is adopted or not, the Council can make desired changes to the existing Cannabis Dispensary Ordinance and continue forward with prior direction on the Cannabis Cultivation Ordinance

## Questions?