

Paoli, Diana

From: Jacob Patterson <jacob.patterson.esq@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, January 26, 2026 3:14 PM
To: City Clerk
Cc: Whippy, Isaac
Subject: Public Comment -- 1/26/26 Special CC Mtg., Item No. 2C

City Council,

I am a little confused about why you are holding Agenda Item 2C because it is my understanding that the actual risk of litigation has passed. Diana filed a claim with the City alleging employment-related causes of action within the six-month window to do so. As is usual, the City had 45 days to reject the claim, which happened. Once that rejection occurred, she had a total of six months to actually file litigation or forever waive her claims as untimely. I believe the six-month window to file litigation has come and gone without her filing anything so there isn't really any legitimate exposure to litigation in this case and there shouldn't be any closed session discussion about it. Of course, I suppose someone could still commence litigation only for the City's insurance counsel to file a motion to dismiss the litigation as untimely but that seems like a bit of a stretch. Regardless, it is a good thing that we won't be dealing with arguably frivolous litigation. I am a little surprised you haven't consistently received closed session updates about other litigation that were or are actually in progress but that is an entirely different matter

Regards,

--Jacob