

Planning Commission Meeting

From littlecup223@icloud.com < littlecup223@icloud.com>

Date Wed 11/12/2025 10:23 AM

To Peters, Sarah <speters@fortbraggca.gov>

Cc cdd <cdd@fortbraggca.gov>

4 attachments (1 MB)

 $November\ meeting\ final.pdf;\ suggested\ photos\ for\ 11-12-25.pdf;\ Littlecup\ Vintage\ (electrical).pdf;\ Sabine's_11-12-25\ PLANS\ (ANNEX).pdf;$

Good morning Sarah,

Attached are all my documents for tonights meeting at 6pm. Please forward/make copies for the commissioners. Thank you, Sabine Brunner

Alexander's Plumbing

106 RATHBURN WAY SANTA CRUZ, CA. 95062 831-818-7653 LIC. # 808957

for 223 Redwood

Strap water heater & remove black iron pipe feeding water heater.

Also extend pressure relief pipe to ground.

Noted cold supply PVC piping

install P-trap for washing machine

Fort Bragg Electric, Inc.

Mail: PO Box 1578, Fort Bragg, CA, 95437

License #391464

Tel: (707)964-9118 Fax: (707)964-1404 Email: michael@fortbraggelectric.com

TIME AND MATERIALS PROPOSAL

To: Littlecup Vintage Date: 11/5/2025

Attn: Sabina

Address: 223 E Redwood Phone: 925-818-4291

Fort Bragg, CA 95437 Email: <u>Littlecup223@icloud.com</u>

JOB NUMBER

26063

JOB ADDRESS

26063

223 E Redwood Ave, Fort Bragg, CA 985437

PROPOSAL SUMMARY	BID AMOUNT	APPROVED AMOUNT
T&M BUDGET:	\$500	
Total >>>>>	\$500	

Fort Bragg Electric is pleased to present the following proposal subject to the following inclusions, exclusions and qualifications:

INCLUSIONS

Provide & install GFCI protected receptacles for kitchen area.

• Two receptacles behind stove will need to be raised up above counter height. Existing boxes will be used to splice and extend circuits up to two new box locations.

Install two new light fixtures or new led bulbs in existing fixtures (customer supplied).

One fixture in bedroom and one in office.

Provide & install new GFCI receptacle in bathroom.

Bathroom located in 2nd building.

Labor and materials for the above scope of work.

EXCLUSIONS

- 1 Carpentry, painting
- 2 Permitting fees
- 3 Substandard wiring
- 4 Warranty, replacement, repair of customer provided items
- 5 Work not outlined above

OUALIFICATIONS

- 1 This proposal is valid for 30 days from the above date.
- 2 Payment due upon completion of work.

We look forward to working with you on this project. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call.

PROPOSAL OF TERMS BY_	<u>Michael Mercado</u>	Date _	<u>November 5, 2025</u>	
	FORT BRAGG ELECTRIC	_	_	
ACCEPTANCE OF TERMS		Date		
	CUSTOMER			

^{*}Work will be completed as time and materials. Labor rate is \$112/hr for electrician.

November 12, 2025 - Planning Commission Meeting for MUP 1-25 Appeal Owner/ Applicant: Sabine Brunner

I have prepared this package to address the issues that were discussed during last month's meeting regarding my appeal. After reviewing the video of the meeting, the items to be determined for today's meeting were identified as:

- 1. Are my two buildings on one legal parcel and can they be connected
- 2. Health and Safety for continued residential use of 223 E Redwood
- 3. Limited Term Permit for 223 E Redwood

On November 10th I asked for and received the staff report for this meeting. Out of the three directives listed above it only addressed number 3 but 1 & 2 are both part of 3 so I will address each in order to give a comprehensive perspective as we move forward with this.

1) Are my two buildings on one legal parcel and can they be connected

Attached are copies of the Assessor's Parcel map, a Record of Survey map and tax bill for my property on East Redwood Avenue. All clearly show the one legal parcel with two buildings. As I mentioned previously the administrative staff report done by George Leinen (can be found in ATT 2 for package of 10-22-25 mtg) recommended a fully enclosed connection between the two buildings to make the bathing facility in 221 usable. I am enclosing a new floor plan showing how this can work via use of an annex while maintaining the 60-40 square footage ratio required. There was no explanation of why the City choose to go against Mr. Leinen's recommendation. The public comment submitted by Jacob Patterson is in ATT. 2 appears to be the only thing the City relied on for its decision to deny connection of the buildings. It cites EPA verbiage which I don't think applies to my project as EPA is not a planning agency and the projects used as examples in the citation are clearly large scale commercial ones.

2) Health and Safety concerns for 223 E Redwood

During the 10-22-25 meeting, the health and safety of my residence at 223 was discussed and the commission mentioned forming an Ad Hoc Committee to assess these issues. A day or so after the meeting Commissioner Richard Neil made a site visit to my property and I showed him around both buildings. I subsequently arranged inspections by:

Greenwood Construction: On 11/4/25 I had a consultation with Ryan "Rosco" Tuomala from Greenwood Construction. He is a well known builder in this area. He met with me and inspected my property. We developed a plan to connect the two buildings and get the necessary inspection. He pointed out that the building is in good solid condition. It sits on a partial foundation. The work will not be happening over night and none of the improvements to the code inspections will interfere with me living here.

Fort Bragg Electric: On 11/4/25 I had Michael Mercado from Fort Bragg Electric at my building and he pointed out that my electrical is in good condition and only needs minor changes to the kitchen area. *The electrical panel is a commercial panel and in good (new) condition and does not need any upgrades.* I have smoke and carbon detectors throughout the building and in addition have a fire extinguisher in each building. The minor improvements have been scheduled for Thursday, November 13, 2025.

Alexander Plumbing: On 11/4/25 I had Alexander Plumbing at my building and he pointed out that *the overall plumbing is in working condition*. There are some improvements to be done. See letter attached.

Additional health and safety facts include:

- -The main bathroom in the 221 building is completely up to code.
- -The bedroom in 223 exceeds square footage and ceiling height requirements for bedrooms and has egress windows and a closet. There is a full kitchen and water closet in 223
- -Each room in 223 has direct emergency egress to the exterior through either windows or doors. I am attaching a floor plan to connect the 2 buildings with an annex. Both buildings are on one parcel. Connecting the 2 buildings is the best solution

3) 223 E Redwood is an eligible for a limited term permit

The ILUDC allows for limited term permits under section 18.71.030. My building at 223 E. Redwood is a de facto an existing dwelling. The portion I currently occupy is configured in the exact same way as it was when I purchased the property. The kitchen was in place, the half bathroom has always been there and so has the bedroom. All these spaces are habitable. A customer of mine stated a while ago that she used to live at 223 E. Redwood Ave when the shop was a book store before I purchased the property. And the space has been used by me and my family since the purchase of the property as an occasional secondary residence when we didn't feel like making the drive from Fort Bragg to our Little River home (which is now owned by my former husband).

Most buildings in the CBD are old historic buildings and most of them are not up to code. Some buildings are in terrible shape posing safety risks and need a lot of work. Others are in terrible shape and are used as un-permitted residences. Is the City holding the same health and safety standards up to those other buildings and people who are living behind their stores?

Conclusion: Since the process started in April, I have dealt in good faith with the City's Community Development department, but do not feel that they have dealt in good faith with me. Here is one example: staff reports have lacked important information and lacked sending the information to me or keeping me informed. I can provide other examples if needed.

I am asking you today to approve a one year temporary living permit to give me the time to bring my current living space up to code. Most of the work is minor work and does not interfere with the living space since this has always been a dwelling. As I mentioned before, I do not have the money to pay for my living expenses, bring the building up to code and pay additional rent. As you can see in the attached photos, my living space is comfortable and safe. I have smoke detectors in all rooms and keep multiple fire extinguishers in both buildings and every room in 223 provides direct access to the exterior through either windows or doors.

Thank you for your time and consideration!

SITE DOCUMENTATION & FLOOR PLANS FOR MINOR USE PERMIT (REV. 11-12-25)


FOR SABINE BRUNNER
221 \$ 223 E REDWOOD AVE
FORT BRAGG CA

W/C = WATER CLOSET
W/ TOILET &
HAND SINK

= EXISTING WALL

= EXISTING WALLED IN OPENING

SCALE: 1/8" = 1'



SF = SQUARE FEET

INI ON

INDICATES LIVING SPACE ON FLOORPLANS

THIS 11-12-25 REVISION PROPOSES AN ENCLOSED ANNEX TO CONNECT BLDGS 223 & 221.

LIVE/WORK SQ FT CALCULATIONS:

BLDG #223 = <u>1,142 SF GROSS</u> 40% OF 1142 SF FOR RESIDENTIAL USE = 456 SF

#223 RETAIL STORE SF 400 SF #223 STORE OFFICE SF 125 SF #223 STORE WORKSHOP 211 SF #223 TOTAL RETAIL SF 742 SF

#223 RESIDENTIAL SF + 400 SF 1.142 SF

400 SF RESIDENTIAL USE IN 223

52 SF RESIDENTAIL USE IN 221

452 SF RESIDENTIAL USE TOTAL

IS LESS THAN THE 456 ALLOWED


FOR 223 ALONE.


THE (P) ANNEX CONNECTS THE STORE WORKSHOP TO CLASSROOM & IS NOT RESIDENTIAL SF




#221 = 559 Gross Sq. Ft.

#223 = 1142 Gross Sq. Ft.






Below: Record of Survey Map showing 2 bldgs on the parcel



Revised Floor Plan showing Proposed Annex Connecting the two buildings



THIS 11-12-25 REVISION PROPOSES AN ENCLOSED ANNEX TO CONNECT BLDGS 223 4 221. LIVE/WORK SQ FT CALCULATIONS: BLDG #223 = 1,142 9F GROSS 40% OF 1142 9F FOR RESIDENTIAL USE = 456 SF #223 RETAIL STORE SF 400 SE #223 STORE OFFICE SF 125 SF #223 STORE WORKSHOP #223 TOTAL RETAIL SF #223 RESIDENTIAL SF + 400 SF 1.142 SF 400 SF RESIDENTIAL USE IN 223 52 SF RESIDENTAIL USE IN 221 452 SF RESIDENTIAL USE TOTAL

INDICATES LIVING SPACE ON FLOORPLANS

SF . SQUARE FEET

THE (P) ANNEX CONNECTS THE STORE WORKSHOP TO CLASSROOM 4 IS NOT RESIDENTIAL SF

FOR 223 ALONE.

IS LESS THAN THE 456 ALLOWED



Above: Egress windows along east side of 223



Full Kitchen in 223



Full Code Compliant Bath in 221



Code Compliant Elect. Panel in 223



Typ. Fire Extinguisher throughout 2 bldgs



Typical Fire Alarm throughout 2 bldgs

Photos of Code Compliant Features

< produced

Dictionary

Thesaurus

produce 1 of 2 verb

pro·duce (prə-ˈdüs ◄)) prō-, -ˈdyüs

produced; producing

Synonyms of produce >

transitive verb

1 : to offer to view or notice

2 : to give birth or rise to : YIELD

3 : to extend in length, area, or volume *produce* a side of a triangle

4 : to make available for public exhibition or dissemination: such as

a: to provide funding for search for backers to *produce* the film

b: to oversee the making of will *produce* their new album

5 a : to cause to have existence or to happen : BRING ABOUT

b: to give being, form, or shape to: MAKE

especially: MANUFACTURE

6 : to compose, create, or bring out by intellectual or physical effort

7: to cause to accrue

Dictionary

Thesaurus

produce 2 of 2 noun

pro·duce ('prō-(ˌ)düs ◄)) also ('prä- ◄)) -(ˌ)dyüs

1 a:something produced

b: the amount produced: YIELD

- 2 : agricultural products and especially fresh fruits and vegetables as distinguished from grain and other staple crops
- 3 : the progeny usually of a female animal

Verb

create generate

cause bring

do prompt

Noun

production yield

product work

See All Synonyms & Antonyms in Thesaurus

Dictionary

Thesaurus

The tree **produces** good fruit.

Honey is *produced* by bees.

See More >

Recent Examples on the Web

(i) Examples are automatically compiled from online sources to show current usage. Read More

Verb

Maintain a safe distance from large vehicles - Trucks or buses can **produce** a water spray that hampers visibility.

- Nc Weather Bot, Charlotte Observer, 10 Nov. 2025

The company currently **produces** 15 hybrid nameplates for the United States, providing a wide variety of affordable, eco-friendly options.

- Charles Singh, USA Today, 9 Nov. 2025

Noun

Consider Tupperware's FridgeSmart Container Set your secret weapon to extending the life of your *produce*, organizing your food, and adding money back to your pocket.

– Alicia Geigel, Southern Living, 11 Nov. 2025

Increase purchasing power for high-demand items (fresh *produce*, proteins, shelf-stable staples) through OneGenAway's bulk buying and food rescue partnerships.

- Gabrielle Chenault, *Nashville Tennessean*, 10 Nov. 2025

See All Example Sentences for *produce*

Dictionary

Thesaurus

Verb

Middle English (Scots), from Latin *producere*, from *pro-* forward + *ducere* to lead — more at TOW entry 1

First Known Use

Verb

15th century, in the meaning defined at transitive sense 1

Noun

1657, in the meaning defined at sense 1a

Time Traveler

The first known use of produce was in the 15th century

See more words from the same century

co-produce

mass-produce

conduce deduce
diffuse disuse
excuse footloose

See All Rhymes for produce

prodrug produce producent

See All Nearby Words

Style MLA

"Produce." *Merriam-Webster.com Dictionary*, Merriam-Webster, https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/produce. Accessed 12 Nov. 2025.

Copy Citation

Dictionary Thesaurus

produce 1 of 2 verb

pro·duce (prə-ˈd(y)üs ◄))

produced; producing

- : to bring to view : **EXHIBIT** produce evidence produced a permit when asked
- : to give birth or rise to 2 a tree *producing* good fruit the offspring an insect can produce
- : to prepare to present to the public 3 produce a play
- : to cause to be or happen: BRING ABOUT 4 the insect bite produced a rash this will *produce* results
- **5** a: to bring something out by work produced a magazine article
 - **b**: MANUFACTURE entry 2 sense 2 a factory producing steel

Dictionary

Thesaurus

pro·duce 'präd-(,)üs ◄) 'prōd- ◄) also -(,)yüs

1 : something produced

2 : fresh fruits and vegetables

Nglish: Translation of *produce* for Spanish Speakers

Last Updated: 10 Nov 2025 - Updated example sentences

Love words? Need even more definitions?

Subscribe to America's largest dictionary and get thousands more definitions and advanced search—ad free!

MERRIAM-WEBSTER UNABRIDGED

Dictionary

Thesaurus



Can you solve 4 words at once?

Play



WORD OF THE DAY

abide 💿

See Definitions and Examples »

Get Word of the Day daily email!

Your email addre

SUBSCRIBE

Dictionary


Thesaurus

Skibidi, Mog & More: Gen Alpha Slang

Ten More Words from Taylor Swift Songs

Quordle
Can you solve 4 words at once?
Play

Blossom
Pick the best words!
Play



Learn a new word every day.

Delivered to your inbox!

Your email address

SUBSCRIBE

Help | About Us | Advertising Info | Contact Us | Privacy Policy | Terms of Use

© 2025 Merriam-Webster, Incorporated



Public Comment -- 11/12/25 PC Mtg., Item No. 6A, Continued Public Hearing for MUP 1-25

From Jacob Patterson < jacob.patterson.esq@gmail.com>

Date Wed 11/12/2025 1:05 PM

To cdd <cdd@fortbraggca.gov>

Cc Peters, Sarah <speters@fortbraggca.gov>

1 attachment (928 KB)

PRODUCED Definition & Meaning - Merriam-Webster.pdf;

Planning Commission,

In light of the somewhat confusing discussion and direction last time that was based, in large part, on the cherry-picked photos submitted by the applicant of a few items that she restored or altered prior to sale in her vintage retail shop, I thought i should submit photos of the inventory that is much more representative of the vintage merchandise offered for sale in Little Cup. These photos are from today when it was not open so they are through the windows but they still illustrate the types of retail sales going on there. There is a rack of vintage clothing that is often placed outside when the shop is open. None of these items appear to be altered in any way nor are they custom pieces, rather, they have tags from their original manufacture demonstrating their original production source. at best, they have been cleaned and perhaps minor mending (e.g., reattaching loose or missing buttons). There is also vintage china, like "little cups" with matching saucers and large platters. There are also nicknacks, children's furniture like the rocking chair in the window, vintage pickling crocks, vintage toys, vintage wire egg baskets, and the like. In fact, the vast majority of items offered for retail sale are just that, unaltered vintage items. Are there a few items that have been restored or altered by the applicant like those shown in the pictures she submitted at your last meeting? Sure, and those items could arguably be said to be "produced" even though that interpretation is more than a stretch, in my opinion, and certainly not according to the dictionary definition of production from Merriam Webster that the City uses to provide definitions of terms that are not defined in our code (see attached).

However, that small subset of items doesn't change the nature of the rest of the inventory in any way, which happens to be the majority of the inventory offered for retail sale, including what is depicted in the photos that I took myself earlier today. Those inventory items haven't been altered or "produced" on the premises or elsewhere since their production elsewhere by the original manufacturer. These issues are reflected in the new draft resolution that staff prepared for the four of you who asserted that they felt what the applicant showed in her photos amounted to "production" in your eyes and we didn't need the two related special conditions as a result. None of you actually explained your reasoning and the resolution reflects that because the assertion that those items are "produced" on site is not supported by any reasoning explaining how what she does amounts to production. Even more troubling is how the majority (and the new draft resolution) completely ignores the other part of the requirement, which is that any retail activity of items that are produced on site is merely incidental to the on-site production. Chair Jensen highlighted this issue in your deliberations but the rest of you totally ignored it. In order to support the majority's preliminary direction to staff, you not only need to

explain how the work done by the applicant amounts to production but how ALL the retail sales on site are merely incidental to the on-site production activity. Basically, the live/work unit is required to be used primarily for producing art or artisan items on site, like the letter press or the subset of vintage items that have been altered enough to be considered produced there, and ALL retail activity, not just the retail activity of the items that were produced there, is incidental (i.e., accessory, minor, and relatively insignificant) to the production activities. Of course, the issues of compliance with the permit conditions and the code requirements not addressed by the permit itself, are outside the purview of the Planning Commission and will be the responsibility of the Community Development Department after the permits are in effect and the live/work unit is operational.

If the majority adopts the new draft resolution as written (as opposed to the fully supported resolution prepared by staff and the City Attorney's office), you aren't supporting your finding, or really even making a finding at all because you simply assert the conclusion but don't explain the necessary underlying analysis as to how you came to that conclusion. That isn't principled or defensible planning and all you are doing (besides abusing your discretion) is creating an administrative record for this entitlement review that sets up a further appeal or legal challenge that would end up harming the applicant because she would be on the hook for additional expenses compared to just allowing the approval to stand with all the special conditions. This observation is in no way a reflection of staff's work, which was solid. It isn't staff's fault that the majority failed to give them adequate direction last time to come up with a legally defensible resolution because none of you (except Chair Jensen) adequately explained your reasoning, the majority simply asserted and unsupported conclusion that what you saw and heard about amounted to production. I recommend you adopt the original resolution upholding the reasoned and supported determination of the Acting Community Development Director.

Thank you for your consideration,

--Jacob

