

**From:** [Jacob Patterson](#)  
**To:** [City Clerk](#)  
**Cc:** [Whippy, Isaac](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment -- 6/23/25 Special CC Mtg., Closed Session  
**Date:** Thursday, June 19, 2025 3:46:14 PM

---

City Council,

I see you are having another closed session about the defective paving work at the Intersection of Oak and Harold Streets. You really should be having closed sessions about the paving project in general, not just at this intersection. We are nearly at the one year mark since the paving work was performed and it only has a 12-month warranty period, which the contractor refused to extend when we requested it. I assume we need to request warranty repairs prior to initiating litigation (although maybe not) and I don't want us to neglect to enforce our rights in a timely manner to address the deficient paving work done to Franklin Street, which is far more extensive and significant than the slope issue at Oak and Harold. We have waited too long in the past to submit warranty claims for defective equipment (e.g., the electronic notice board we installed at and later, thankfully, removed from City Hall) and it would be terrible if we drug our feet and left ourselves on the hook for the cost of the repairs to Franklin. Please be sure to meet all procedural deadlines.

Also, as I noted in my comment for 8D in the regular meeting, the people responsible for this paving work not meeting requirements are being recommended for the next paving project too. That is questionable, at best. We are having to spend a bunch of money on legal action because of the work performed by these contractors yet we are thinking about awarding them more similar work? What?

Best,

--Jacob