

From: [Jacob Patterson](#)
To: [City Clerk](#)
Subject: Public Comment -- 10/23/2023 CC Mtg., Item No. 7B, CV Starr Fees
Date: Friday, October 20, 2023 5:09:13 PM

City Council,

I reviewed the fee calculation spreadsheet and the proposed new CV Starr fees and I have a couple of questions that you might want to address during the public hearing. Most of the proposed fees are substantially less than the actual costs for the particular service but that isn't true for three of the line items which exceed the actual costs: 40, 41, and 42. I believe this is probably legally acceptable because the overall fee structure remains well below the full cost of providing the services at CV Starr but I still wanted to check to see if this is intentional and why these particular fees were selected to be higher than the actual costs associated with the particular services.

These fees are the daily maximum to rent the outdoor petanque court or north lawn (#40), a swimming party (#41), and staff time per staff member (#42). The daily maximum for outdoor facility rentals might be a mistake concerning the actual costs but the swimming party and staff time costs make less intuitive sense to me. Why not leave the swimming party costs at the current \$225, which is \$1 less than the actual cost rather than the proposed \$250 and why not charge the actual costs of \$52 for staff time rather than the proposed \$75?

Thanks,

--Jacob