

From: jay@mcn.org
To: [Lemos, June](#)
Subject: Consent Calendar item 5I
Date: Monday, August 8, 2022 2:33:05 PM

Hi June,
Please forward to all five Council members.
TY

Dear Council Members,
I am writing to ask if item 5I could be pulled from the Consent Calendar for discussion.
I have some questions about this sudden new idea proposed by Isaac. Will it save the Taxpayers Money or will we be paying more money for the same financial work currently being done. Will the City need to hire yet another employee?
Hopefully some answers will be forth coming.
Kind regards,
Jay

From: [Jacob Patterson](#)
To: [Lemos, June](#)
Subject: Public Comment -- 8/8/2022 CC Mtg., Consent Calendar Item on CV Starr Agreement
Date: Monday, August 8, 2022 3:48:23 PM

City Council,

Note: I can't tell what the agenda number is because Granicus Legistar is down and if it is not back up by 5 PM think the City Council should seriously consider continuing this meeting to another day. I can't even find the Zoom link to participate from the safety of my home!

Regardless. I recommend you pull this item for discussion because it is confusing. I have the following questions after reading through the proposed contract:

Why isn't the current CV Starr agreement with MCRPD? I am interested in understanding how the City is going to be compensated for the financial management duties that are being shifted to the City compared to how that is currently handled.

It looks like the City just uses an enterprise fund account and then will "pay" the District a 2% fee for their management of the center itself rather than the City billing the District for the services that will be provided. Does the District currently get more than the proposed 2%? If not, it seems like we are taking on new staff time burdens without any compensation.

CV Starr staff also perform tasks for MCRPD overall. If the City controls the staff time billed to the CV Starr enterprise fund, is this just a way for the City to get extra revenue to pay for the Finance Department's overall operating budget?

Will this be handled by current staff or additional City headcount?

Couldn't the City effectively control what staff time is billed to CV Starr and MCRPD might end up paying more than they normally would determine to be reasonable? If the City is handling payroll admin, does that mean the City is technically handling all of MCRPD's payroll (not just the portion allocated to the CV Starr budget)?

I am concerned an MCRPD employee will lose a job if the City approves this contract. Do you really want to take over the finances and also eliminate a good local job filled by a local person without any apparent performance issues?

This contract seems like overreach in other areas as well like giving the City Manager any oversight role concerning the District Administrator's HR decisions.

Regards,

--Jacob