

From: [Jacob Patterson](#)
To: [Lemos, June](#); [Munoz, Cristal](#)
Cc: [Miller, Tabatha](#)
Subject: Public Comment -- 9/13/21 CC Mtg., Item No. 9A
Date: Wednesday, September 8, 2021 10:57:13 PM

City Council,

I am fairly confident there is more than one potential case at the moment; I mean, I have more than one potential claim and I am only one of many potential plaintiffs/petitioners that could be bringing a challenge or claims against the City. I am aware of other people who could bring something against the City based on recent events. I really think the City needs to be more forthcoming about who might be involved and what the potential claims might be for these types of agenda items. I mean, there really aren't many potential cases I am aware of where it would likely harm the City's positions to identify the subject matter of the closed session and the parties because the most likely parties are probably already aware of what their options are... Please make a better effort to provide adequate information to the public about closed session items concerning potential litigation.

Also, why didn't the City publish the petition/complaint for the Grocery Outlet lawsuit in Item No. 9C. I think the public should be able to easily access the actual complaint that justifies that closed session item and it doesn't harm the City to include that in the published agenda materials.

Thanks,

--Jacob