

**From:** [noreply@granicusideas.com](mailto:noreply@granicusideas.com)  
**To:** [Lemos, June](#)  
**Subject:** New eComment for City Council - Via Video Conference  
**Date:** Sunday, January 24, 2021 10:13:16 AM

---

[SpeakUp](#)

---

## New eComment for City Council - Via Video Conference

Jacob Patterson submitted a new eComment.

Meeting: City Council - Via Video Conference

Item: 8A. 20-980 Receive Report and Consider Adoption of City Council Resolution Approving Contract Amendment with Metropolitan Planning Group, DBA M-Group for Adjunct Planning Services for Multiple Planning Projects and Authorizing City Manager to Execute Contract (Amount Not to Exceed \$46,146.00; Multiple Account Numbers)

eComment: All of these proposals are based on a false assumption and fundamental error that there will be no significant environmental review. If approved, the City will, from the beginning, be setting itself up for failure or challenge based on inadequate or omitted CEQA analysis because the assumptions are either exemption or nothing more than an initial study. All these projects have easily identifiable potentially significant impacts, including clear conflicts with applicable General Plan policies.

[View and Analyze eComments](#)

This email was sent from <https://granicusideas.com>.

[Unsubscribe](#) from future mailings

**From:** [Annemarie](#)  
**To:** [Morsell-Haye, Jessica](#); [Peters, Lindy](#); [Albin-Smith, Tess](#); [Norvell, Bernie](#); [Miller, Tabatha](#); [Lemos, June](#)  
**Subject:** public comment item 8A, 1-25-21 City Council meeting  
**Date:** Monday, January 25, 2021 4:20:11 PM

---

To June Lemos,  
Please read tonight. Thanks.

To City Council members,

I agree with the comments made by Jacob Patterson that "All of these proposals are based on a false assumption and fundamental error that there will be no significant environmental review. If approved, the City will, from the beginning, be setting itself up for failure or challenge based on inadequate or omitted CEQA analysis because the assumptions are either exemption or nothing more than an initial study. All these projects have easily identifiable potentially significant impacts, including clear conflicts with applicable General Plan policies."

Just looking alone at the Traffic Study for the Grocery Outlet it becomes very clear that many of these projects will require more than an exemption, or initial study. I believe that many of these projects also will have potentially significant cumulative impacts.

If you do not recall what happened with the Hare Creek mall you should familiarize yourself with this project to see how the Mitigated Negative Declaration turned into an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) and how the City by choosing the lowest bidder to deal with the EIR had to increase the salary over time.

Sincerely, Annemarie Weibel

**From:** [Megan Caron](#)  
**To:** [Lemos, June](#)  
**Subject:** Comment for item 8A  
**Date:** Monday, January 25, 2021 7:30:31 PM

---

This may be a duplicate comment

Megan Caron  
Fort Bragg

In recent years, Franklin street has seen an increase in traffic especially during the summer months. Without a four-way stop installed at the intersection of Maple and Franklin combined with a stop light at the bottom of Maple st and Highway one, this would be a complete traffic nightmare between oak st. and chestnut st. Dollar generals are usually situated on highways and major throughfares, A project of this magnitude seems completely inappropriate for a small 2 Lane Street.

Sent from my iPhone