

**From:** [Jacob Patterson](#)  
**To:** [cdd; Peters, Sarah](#)  
**Subject:** PC Item 6C Public Comment -- Laurel Street Tasting Room Project (UP 1-22)  
**Date:** Tuesday, May 24, 2022 4:05:55 PM

---

Planning Commission,

Although I find this use permit portion of this project approvable, I am concerned by the lack of concurrent processing of a sign permit. Please see below for the relevant ILUDC provision. I recommend requiring the sign permit come back to the Planning Commission or adding special conditions concerning the design of the sign. This may seem insignificant but reviewing projects on a piecemeal basis with different required permits being handled by different review authorities leads to inconsistent application of local requirements. The Planning Commission should be reviewing the sign permit not leaving it to later administrative review by City staff. (Please note that although the requirement for concurrent filing can be waived by the CDD Director, the requirement for concurrent processing of related permits cannot similarly be waived.)

Regards,

--Jacob

## 18.70.030 - Multiple Permit Applications



**A. Concurrent filing.** An applicant for a development project that requires the filing of more than 1 application (e.g., Tentative Map, Use Permit, etc.), shall file all related applications concurrently, together with all application fees required by § [18.70.050](#) (Application Fees), unless these requirements are waived by the Director.

**B. Concurrent processing.** Multiple applications for the same project shall be processed concurrently, and shall be reviewed, and approved or disapproved by the highest review authority designated by this Development Code for any of the applications (e.g., a project for which applications for Zoning Map amendment and a Use Permit are filed shall have both applications decided by the Council, instead of the Commission acting upon the Use Permit as otherwise provided by Table 7-1).