B.1 Intersection Countermeasures – Signalized

For HSIP Calls-for-projects							
Funding Eligibility Crash Types Addressed CRF Expected Life					Expected Life		
	100%		"night" crashes	40%	20 years		
Notes:	This CM only applies t	o "night" cras	hes (all types) occurring wit	hin limi	its of the proposed		
	roadway lighting 'engi	neered' area.					
		Ge	neral information				
Where to use	e:						
Signalized int	ersections that have a disp	oportionate nu	mber of night-time crashes and c	do not cu	rrently provide lighting at the		
intersection of	or at its approaches. Crash	data should be	studied to ensure that safety at t	he inters	ection could be improved by		
providing ligh	nting (this strategy would be	e supported by a	a significant number of crashes th	nat occur	at night).		
Why it works	5:						
Providing ligh	nting at the intersection itse	lf, or both at th	e intersection and on its approac	hes, imp	roves the safety of an		
intersection	during nighttime conditions	by (1) making d	Irivers more aware of the surrou	ndings at	an intersection, which		
improves driv	vers' perception-reaction til	nes, (2) enhanc	ing drivers' available sight distand	ces, and	(3) improving the visibility of		
non-motorist	but also being drivers see th	particular bene	ant to non-motorized users. Ligh	ting not o	only nelps them havigate the		
General Qua	litios (Time, Cost and Effect	iveness)					
General Qua	inties (Time, Cost and Effect	iveness).					
A lighting pro	oject can usually be complet	ed relatively qu	ICKIY, but generally requires at le	ast 1 yea	r to implement because the		
ingnting syste	lighting system must be designed and the provision of electrical power must be arranged. The provision of lighting involves both						
a fixed cost fo	or lighting installation and a	n ongoing main	tenance and power cost which re	esuits in a	a moderate to high cost.		
Some locatio	ns can result in high B/C rat	ios, but due to l	nigher costs, these projects often	result in	i meaium to low B/C ratios.		
FHWA CMF C	Clearinghouse: Crash Typ	es Addressed:	Night, All	CRF: 2	20-74%		

S02, Improve signal hardware: lenses, back-plates with retroreflective borders, mounting, size, and number

For HSIP Calls-for-projects							
Fur	Funding Eligibility Crash Types Addressed CRF Expected Life						
	100%		All	15%	10 years		
Notes: This CM only applies to crashes occurring on the approaches / influence area of the upgraded signals. This CM does not apply to improvements like "battery backup systems", which do not provide better intersection/signal visibility or help drivers negotiate the intersection (unless applying past crashes that occurred when the signal lost power). If new signal mast arms are part of the proposed project, CM "S2" should not be used and the signal improvements would be included under CM "S7".							
		Ge	neral information				
Where to u	se:						
Signalized ir traffic signa include new larger signa	ntersections with a hig Is sufficiently in advan / LED lighting, signal ba I heads, relocation of f	h frequency of right-au ce to safely negotiate ack plates, retro-reflec the signal heads, or add	ngle and rear-end crashes o the intersection being appro- tive tape outlining the back ditional signal heads.	occurring oached. plates,	g because drivers are unable to see Signal intersection improvements or visors to increase signal visibility,		
Why it wor	ks:						
Providing be clarity of the	etter visibility of inters e signal should be imp	ection signals aids the roved without creating	drivers' advance perception additional confusion for d	n of the rivers.	upcoming intersection. Visibility and		
General Qu	alities (Time, Cost and	l Effectiveness):					
Installation costs and time should be minimal as these type strategies are classified as low cost and implementation does not typically require the approval process normally associated with more complex projects. When considered at a single location, these low cost improvements are usually funded through local funding by local maintenance crews. However, This CM can be effectively and efficiently implemented using a systematic approach with numerous locations, resulting in low to moderate cost projects that are more appropriate to seek state or federal funding.							
FHWA CMF	Clearinghouse: Cra	sh Types Addressed:	Rear-End, Angle	CR	RF: 0-46%		

S01, Add intersection lighting (Signalized Intersection => S.I.)

S13PB, Install pedestrian median fencing on approaches

For HSIP Calls-for-projects								
Fun	Funding Eligibility Crash Types Addressed CRF Expected Life							
	90%	Pedestr	ian and Bicycle	35%	20 years			
Notes: This CM only applies to "Ped & Bike" crashes occurring on the approaches/influence area of the new pedestrian median fencing.								
		Ge	neral information					
Where to u	se:							
pedestrians during the v installing a c Why it worl	J-walking across the tr valk-phase. When this continuous pedestrian ks:	avel lanes at mid-bloc safety issue cannot b parrier in the median	k locations instead of walk e mitigated with signal tim may be a viable solution.	ing to the inter ing and shoulde	section and waiting to cross er/sidewalk treatments, then			
Adding ped involving pe significantly	Adding pedestrian median fencing has the opportunity to enhance pedestrian safety at locations noted as being problematic involving pedestrians running/darting across the roadway outside the intersection crossings. Pedestrian median fencing can significantly reduce this safety issue by creating a positive barrier, forcing pedestrians to the designated pedestrian crossing.							
General Qu	alities (Time, Cost and	Effectiveness):						
Costs associated with this strategy will vary widely depending on the type and placement of the median fencing. Impacts to transit and other land uses may need to be considered and controversy can delay the implementation. In general, this CM can be effective as a spot-location approach								
FHWA CMF	Clearinghouse: Cra	h Types Addressed:	Pedestrian, Bicycle	CRF: 2	5- 40%			

S14, Create directional median openings to allow (and restrict) left-turns and U-turns (S.I.)

For HSIP Calls-for-projects									
Fun	Funding Eligibility Crash Types Addressed CRF Expected Life								
	90%		All	50%	20 years				
Notes:	Notes: This CM only applies to crashes occurring in the intersection / influence area of the new directional openings.								
		Ge	neral information						
Where to us	se:								
Crashes rela crashes. If a best way to Why it worl Restricting t number of a crashes. Af movement of	Crashes related to turning maneuvers include angle, rear-end, pedestrian, and sideswipe (involving opposing left turns) type crashes. If any of these crash types are an issue at an intersection, restriction or elimination of the turning maneuver may be the best way to improve the safety of the intersection. Why it works: Restricting turning movement into and out of an intersection can help reduce conflicts between through and turning traffic. The number of access points, coupled with the speed differential between vehicles traveling along the roadway, contributes to crashes. Affecting turning movements by either allowing them or restricting them, based on the application, can ensure safe								
General Qu	alities (Time, Cost and Ef	fectiveness):							
Turn prohib	itions that are implement	ed by closing a me	dian opening can be implen	nented quickly	. The cost of this strategy will				
depend on t	he treatment. Impacts to	businesses and ot	her land uses must be cons	idered and co	ntroversy can delay the				
implementa	tion. In general, This CN	l can be very effect	ive and can be considered o	on a systemati	c approach.				
FHWA CMF	Clearinghouse: Crash	Types Addressed:	All	CRF: 5	1%				

S20PB, Install advance stop bar before crosswalk (Bicycle Box)

For HSIP Calls-for-projects								
Funding Eligibility Crash Types Addressed CRF Expected Li					Expected Life			
	100%	Pedestr	ian and Bicycle	15%	10 years			
Notes:	Notes: This CM only applies to "Ped & Bike" crashes occurring in the intersection-crossing with the new advanced stop bars.							
		Ge	neral information					
Where to us	se:							
Signalized Intersections with a marked crossing, where significant bicycle and/or pedestrians volumes are known to occur.								
Why it worl	<s:< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></s:<>							
Adding adva	ince stop bar before the	striped crosswalk ha	as the opportunity to enhan	nce both pedes	trian and bicycle safety.			
Stopping ca	rs well before the crossv	alk provides a buffe	r between the vehicles and	the crossing p	edestrians. It also allows for a			
dedicated s	bace for cyclists, making	them more visible to	o drivers (This dedicated spa	ace is often ref	erred to as a bike-box.)			
General Qu	alities (Time, Cost and E	ffectiveness):						
Costs and ti	me of installation will va	ry based on the num	ber of intersections include	ed in this strate	egy and if it requires new			
signal contr	ollers capable of accomr	nodating the enhand	ement. When considered a	it a single locat	ion, these low cost			
improveme	nts are usually funded th	rough local funding	by local crews. However, T	his CM can be	effectively and efficiently			
implemente	d using a systematic app	roach with numero	us locations, resulting in mo	oderate cost pr	ojects that are more			
appropriate	to seek state or federal	funding.						
FHWA CMF	Clearinghouse: Crash	Types Addressed:	Pedestrian, Bicycle	CRF: 3	5%			

S21PB, Modify signal phasing to implement a Leading Pedestrian Interval (LPI)

For HSIP Calls-for-projects								
Funding Eligibility Crash Types Addressed CRF Expected Life					Expected Life			
	100%	Pedesti	rian and Bicycle	60%	10 years			
Notes:	This CM only ap	olies to "Ped & Bike'	' crashes occurring in th	e intersect	ions with signalized			
	pedestrian cross	ing with the newly i	mplemented Leading Pe	edestrian I	nterval (LPI).			
		Ge	neral information					
Where to u	se:							
Intersection	s with signalized ped	estrian crossing that ha	ve high turning vehicles vol	umes and ha	ve had pedestrian vs. vehicle			
crashes.								
Why it wor	ks:							
A leading pe	edestrian interval (LP) gives pedestrians the	opportunity to enter an inte	ersection 3-7	seconds before vehicles are			
given a gree	n indication. With th	s head start, pedestria	ns can better establish their	presence in	the crosswalk before vehicles			
have priorit	y to turn left. LPIs pro	vide (1) increased visik	ility of crossing pedestrians	; (2) reduced	conflicts between pedestrians			
and vehicles	s; (3) Increased likelih	ood of motorists yieldi	ng to pedestrians; and (4) er	nhanced safe	ty for pedestrians who may be			
slower to st	art into the intersect	on.						
General Qu	alities (Time, Cost an	d Effectiveness):						
Costs for im	plementing LPIs are	very low, since only mir	nor signal timing alteration is	s required. T	nis makes it an easy and			
inexpensive	countermeasure that	t can be incorporated i	nto pedestrian safety action	plans or pol	icies and can become routine			
agency prac	agency practice. When considered at a single location, the LPI is usually local-funded. However, This CM can be effectively and							
efficiently ir	nplemented using a s	ystematic approach wi	th numerous locations, resu	Ilting in mod	erate cost projects that are more			
appropriate	to seek state or fede	ral funding.						
FHWA CMF	Clearinghouse: C	ash Types Addressed:	Pedestrian, Bicycle	CRF:	59%			

B.2 Intersection Countermeasures – Non-signalized

	For HSIP Calls-for-projects								
Fun	ding Eligibility		Crash T	pes Addressed	CRF	Expected Life			
	100%			Night	40%	20 years			
Notes:	This CM only	applies	to "night" crash	es (all types) occurring	g within lin	iits of the proposed			
	roadway light	ting 'en	gineered' area.						
			Ge	neral information					
Where to u	se:								
Non-signaliz	zed intersections t	that have	e a disproportionat	e number of night-time cra	shes and do	not currently provide lighting at			
the intersec	tion or at its appr	oaches.	Crash data should	be studied to ensure that s	safety at the	intersection could be improved			
by providing	g lighting (this stra	ategy wo	uld be supported b	by a significant number of c	rashes that o	occur at night).			
Why it wor	ks:								
Providing lig	ghting at the inter	section i	tself, or both at the	e intersection and on its ap	proaches, im	proves the safety of an			
intersection	during nighttime	conditio	ons by (1) making d	rivers more aware of the si	urroundings	at an intersection, which			
improves dr	rivers' perception-	-reaction	times, (2) enhanci	ng drivers' available sight d	listances, and	d (3) improving the visibility of			
non-motori	sts. Intersection I	ighting is	s of particular bene	fit to non-motorized users	as lighting n	ot only helps them navigate the			
intersection	i, but also helps di	rivers see	e them better.						
General Qu	alities (Time, Cos	t and Eff	ectiveness):						
A lighting p	roject can usually	be comp	leted relatively qu	ickly, but generally requires	s at least 1 ye	ear to implement because the			
lighting syst	em must be desig	gned and	the provision of el	ectrical power must be arra	anged. The p	rovision of lighting involves both			
a fixed cost for lighting installation and an ongoing maintenance and power cost. For rural intersections, studies have shown									
the installation of streetlights reduced nighttime crashes at unlit intersections and can be more effective in reducing nighttime									
crashes than either rumble strips or overhead flashing beacons. Some locations can result in high B/C ratios, but due to higher									
costs, these	projects often re	sult in m	edium to low B/C ı	atios.					
FHWA CMF	Clearinghouse:	Crash T	ypes Addressed:	Night, All	CRF:	25- 50%			

NS01, Add intersection lighting (NS.I.)

NS02, Convert to all-way STOP control (from 2-way or Yield control)

For HSIP Calls-for-projects								
Fun	Funding Eligibility Crash Types Addressed CRF Expected Life						Expected Life	
	100%			All		50%		10 years
Notes:	Notes: This CM only applies to crashes occurring in the intersection and/or influence area of the new control. CA-MUTCD warrant must be met.							nce area of the new
			Ge	neral information				
Where to u	se:							
Unsignalize	d intersection loca	ations that	at have a crash his	tory and have no contro	ls on th	ne major	roadv	way approaches. However,
all-way stop	control is suitable	e only at	intersections with	moderate and relativel	y balan	iced volu	me le	evels on the intersection
approaches	. Under other con	ditions, t	he use of all-way	stop control may create	unnece	essary de	lays a	and aggressive driver
behavior. N	/IUTCD warrants s	hould alv	ways be followed.					
Why it wor	ks:							
All-way stop	o control can redu	ce right-	angle and turning	collisions at unsignalized	d inters	ections b	oy pro	oviding more orderly
movement	at an intersection,	, reducin	g through and turi	ning speeds, and minimi	zing the	e safety	effect	t of any sight distance
restrictions	that may be prese	ent. Adv	ance public notific	ation of the change is c	itical in	n assuring	g com	npliance and reducing
crashes.								
General Qu	alities (Time, Cost	t and Eff	ectiveness):					
The costs in	volved in converti	ing to all	-way stop control	are relatively low. All-wa	ay stop	control	can no	ormally be implemented at
multiple int	ersections with ju	st a char	ge in signing on in	tersection approaches,	and typ	bically ar	e very	y quick to implement. When
considered	considered at a single location, these low cost improvements are usually funded through local funding by local maintenance							
crews. How	crews. However, This CM can be effectively and efficiently implemented using a systematic approach with numerous locations,							
resulting in	moderate cost pro	ojects th	at are more appro	priate to seek state or f	ederal f	funding.		
FHWA CMF	Clearinghouse:	Crash T	ypes Addressed:	Left-turn, Angle		CRF:	6 - 8	30%

	For HSIP Calls-for-projects							
Fun	Funding Eligibility Crash Types Addressed CRF Expected Life							
	100%		All	Varies 20 years				
Notes:	Notes: This CM only applies to crashes occurring in the intersection and/or influence area of the new control. The benefit of this CM is calculated using Caltrans procedure. The CRF is dependent on the ADT, project location (Rural/Urban) and the roundabout type (1 lane or 2 lanes). The benefit comes from both the reduction in the number and the severity of the crashes							
	nom both the route	Ge	neral information					
Where to us	se:							
crash patter should be m urban settin	ns or not, a roundabout p oderate-volume unsignal gs where right-of-way is l	provides an alternat ized intersections. imited.	ive to signalization. The pri Roundabouts may not be a	imary target l a viable altern	ocations for roundabouts ative in many suburban and			
Why it worl	ks:							
Roundabour differ from t right-of-way intersection and elimina	Roundabouts provide an important alternative to signalized and all-way stop-controlled intersections. Modern roundabouts differ from traditional traffic circles in that they operate in such a manner that traffic entering the roundabout must yield the right-of-way to traffic already in it. Roundabouts can serve moderate traffic volumes with less delay than all-way stop-controlled intersections and provide fewer conflict points. Crashes at roundabouts tend to be less severe because of the speed constraints and elimination of left-turn and right-angle movements.							
General Qu	alities (Time, Cost and Eff	ectiveness):						
Construction acquisition, not be appr roundabout	Construction of roundabouts are usually relatively costly and major projects, requiring the environmental process, right-of-way acquisition, and implementation under an agency's long-term capital improvement program. (For this reason, roundabouts may not be appropriate for California's Federal Safety Programs that have relatively short delivery requirements.) Even with roundabouts higher costs, they still can have a relatively high effectiveness.							
FHWA CMF	Clearinghouse: Crash	Types Addressed:	Left-turn, Angle	CRF:	12 - 78 %			

NS05, Convert intersection to roundabout (from 2-way stop or Yield control)

NS06, Install/upgrade larger or additional stop signs or other intersection warning/regulatory signs

For HSIP Calls-for-projects								
Funding Eligibility Crash Types Addressed CRF Expected Lif					Expected Life			
100% All 15% 10 years				10 years				
Notes:	This CM only a	pplies t	to crashes occu	irring in the influence a	rea of the nev	w signs. The influence		
	area must be d	etermi	ned on a locatio	on by location basis.				
			Ge	neral information				
Where to u	se:							
The target f	or this strategy sho	ould be a	pproaches to uns	signalized intersections with	h patterns of re	ar-end, right-angle, or turning		
collisions re	lated to lack of driv	er awar	eness of the pres	ence of the intersection.				
Why it wor	ks:							
The visibility	y of intersections ar	nd, thus,	, the ability of app	proaching drivers to perceiv	ve them can be	enhanced by installing larger		
regulatory a	and warning signs a	t or prio	r to intersections.	. A key to success in applying	ng this strategy	is to select a combination of		
regulatory a	and warning sign te	chniques	s appropriate for	the conditions on a particu	ılar unsignalized	d intersection approach.		
General Qu	alities (Time, Cost a	and Effe	ctiveness):					
Signing imp	rovements do not r	require a	I long developme	nt process and can typically	y be implement	ed quickly. Costs for		
implementi	implementing this strategy are nominal and depend on the number of signs. When considered at a single location, these low							
cost improv	cost improvements are usually funded through local funding by local maintenance crews. However, This CM can be effectively							
and efficiently implemented using a systematic approach with numerous locations, resulting in moderate cost projects that are								
more appro	priate to seek state	e or fede	ral funding.					
FHWA CMF	Clearinghouse:	Crash Ty	pes Addressed:	All	CRF: 1	1 - 55%		

For HSIP Calls-for-projects								
Funding Eligibility Crash Types Addressed CRF Expected Life								
	100%		All	25%	10 years			
Notes:	This CM only appli	es to crashes occu	irring on the approaches	s / influence	area of the new pavement			
	markings. This CM	is not intended to	be used for general ma	intenance ac	tivities (i.e. the			
	replacement of exi	sting pavement m	arkings in-kind) and mu	ust include u	pgraded safety features			
	over the existing p	avement marking	s and striping.					
		Ge	neral information					
Where to us	se:							
Unsignalized	d intersections that are	not clearly visible to	approaching motorists, part	ticularly approa	aching motorists on the major			
road. The st	rategy is particularly ap	propriate for interse	ctions with patterns of rear-	-end, right-ang	le, or turning crashes related			
to lack of dr	iver awareness of the p	resence of the inters	ection. Also at minor road	approaches wh	nere conditions allow the stop			
bar to be se	en by an approaching c	river at a significant	distance from the intersection	on. Typical im	provements include "Stop			
Ahead" mar	kings and the addition	of Centerlines and St	op Bars.					
Why it work	(S:							
The visibility	of intersections and, t	hus, the ability of app	proaching drivers to perceiv	e them can be	enhanced by installing			
information	at those locations. Pro	in auvance of and at	rs on minor road approache	pproaching mo	ad intersections can help			
direct the at	tention of drivers to th	e presence of the int	ersection Drivers should be	e more aware f	that the intersection is coming			
up, and ther	refore make safer decis	ons as they approac	h the intersection.					
General Qu	alities (Time, Cost and	Effectiveness):						
Pavement m	narking improvements	lo not require a long	development process and c	can typically be	implemented quickly. Costs			
for impleme	enting this strategy are	nominal and depend	on the number of markings	. When consid	ered at a single location, these			
low cost imp	provements are usually	funded through loca	I funding by local maintenar	nce crews. How	wever, This CM can be			
effectively a	nd efficiently impleme	nted using a systema	tic approach with numerous	s locations, res	ulting in moderate cost			
projects tha	projects that are more appropriate to seek state or federal funding. Note: When federal safety funding is used for these							
installations	installations in high-wear-locations, the local agency is expected to maintain the improvement for a minimum of 10 years.							
FHWA CMF	Clearinghouse: Cras	h Types Addressed:	All	CRF: 1	3 - 60%			
			11 1 7					

NS08, Install Flashing Beacons at Stop-Controlled Intersections

For HSIP Calls-for-projects							
Funding Eligibility Crash Types Addressed CRF Expected L				Expected Life			
100% All 15% 10 year			10 years				
Notes:	This CM only appli	es to crashes occu	rring on the stop-co	ontrolled app	oroa	ches / influence area of	
	the new beacons.						
		Ge	neral information				
Where to us	se:						
Flashing bea	icons can reinforce driv	er awareness of the	Non-Signalized intersed	ction control a	nd ca	n help mitigate patterns of	
right-angle	crashes related to stop	ign violations. Post-	mounted advanced fla	shing beacons	or ov	verhead flashing beacons can	
be used at s	top-controlled intersec	ions to supplement	and call driver attentio	n to stop signs	•		
Why it worl	(S:						
Flashing bea	icons provide a visible s	gnal to the presence	e of an intersection and	l can be very e	ffecti	ve in rural areas where there	
may be long	stretches between inte	rsections as well as	ocations where night-t	ime visibility c	of inte	ersections is an issue.	
General Qu	alities (Time, Cost and	ffectiveness):					
Flashing bea	icons can be constructe	d with minimal desig	n, environmental and r	right-of-way is	sues	and have relatively low costs.	
Before choosing this CM, the agency needs to confirm the ability to provide power to the site (solar may be an option). In							
general, Thi	s CM can be very effect	ve and can be consid	lered on a systematic a	pproach.			
FHWA CMF	Clearinghouse: Cras	n Types Addressed:	Angle, Rear-End	CRF	: 5	-34%	

NS19PB, Install raised medians (refuge islands)

For HSIP Calls-for-projects							
Fun	ding Eligibility	Crash Types Addressed	CRF	Expected Life			
	90%	Pedestrian and Bicycle	45%	20 years			
Notes:	This CM only applies to "Ped & Bike" crashes occurring in the crossing with the new islands. All new raised medians funded with federal HSIP funding must not include the removal of the existing roadway structural section and must be doweled into the existing roadway surface. This new requirement is being implemented to maximize the safety-effectiveness of the limited HSIP funding and to minimize project impacts.						
		General information					
Where to us	ie:						
Intersection decrease the a time.	s that have a long pedest e level of exposure for pe	ian crossing distance, a higher number of ped destrians and allow pedestrians to concentrate	estrians, or a c e on (or cross)	crash history. Raised medians only one direction of traffic at			
Why it work	s:						
Raised pede	strian refuge islands, or n	nedians at crossing locations along roadways,	are another sti	rategy to reduce exposure			
between pe	destrians and motor vehi	cles. Refuge islands and medians that are raise	ed (i.e., not just	t painted) provide pedestrians			
more secure	e places of refuge during t	he street crossing. They can stop partway acr	oss the street	and wait for an adequate gap			
in traffic bef	ore completing their cros	sing.					
General Qua	alities (Time, Cost and Eff	ectiveness):					
Median and	pedestrian refuge areas a	are a low-cost countermeasure to implement.	This cost can b	be applied to retrofit			
improvemer	nts or if it is a new constru	iction project, implementing this countermeas	sure is even mo	ore cost-effective. In general,			
This CM can	be very effective and car	be considered on a systematic approach. When	nen agencies o	pt to install landscaping in			
conjunction	with new raised medians	, the portion of the cost for landscaping and o	ther non-safet	y related items that exceeds			
10% of the p	project total cost is not fe	derally participated and must be funded by the	e applicant.				
FHWA CMF	Clearinghouse: Crash	Types Addressed: Pedestrian and Bicycle	CRF: 3	0 - 56 %			
NC20DD In	stall padastrian and	aging at uncontrolled logations (gigs	a and mark	inga anla)			

NS20PB, Install pedestrian crossing at uncontrolled locations (signs and markings only) For HSIP Calls-for-projects Funding Eligibility Crash Types Addressed CRF Expected Life 100% Pedestrian and Bicycle 25% 10 years Notes: This CM only applies to "Ped & Bike" crashes occurring in the intersection/crossing with the new crossing. This CM is not intended to be used for high-cost aesthetic enhancements to intersection crosswalks (i.e. stamped concrete or stamped asphalt). **General information** Where to use: Non-signalized intersections without a marked crossing, where pedestrians are known to be crossing intersections that involve significant vehicular traffic. They are especially important at school crossings and intersections with right and/or left turns pockets. See Zegeer study (Safety Effects of Marked vs. Unmarked Crosswalks at Uncontrolled Locations) for additional guidance regarding when to install a marked crosswalk. Why it works: Adding pedestrian crossings has the opportunity to enhance pedestrian safety at locations noted as being problematic. Pavement markings delineate a portion of the roadway that is designated for pedestrian crossing. These markings will often be different for controlled verses uncontrolled locations. The use of "ladder", "zebra" or other enhanced markings at uncontrolled crossings can increase both pedestrian and driver awareness to the increased exposure at the crossing. Incorporating advanced "stop" or "yield" markings provides an extra safety buffer and can be effective in reducing the 'multiple-threat' danger to pedestrians. Nearly one-third of all pedestrian-related crashes occur at or within 50 feet of an intersection. Of these, 30 percent may involve a turning vehicle. There are several types of pedestrian crosswalks, including: continental, ladder, zebra, and standard. When agencies opt to install aesthetic enhancement to intersection crosswalks like stamped concrete/asphalt, the project design and construction costs can significantly increase. For HSIP applications, these costs must be accounted for in the B/C calculation, but these costs (over standard crosswalk markings) must be tracked separately and are not federally reimbursable and will increase the agency's local-funding share for the project costs. General Qualities (Time, Cost and Effectiveness): Costs associated with this strategy will vary widely, depending upon if curb ramps and sidewalk modifications are required with the crossing. When considered at a single location, these low cost improvements are usually funded through local funding by local crews. However, This CM can be effectively and efficiently implemented using a systematic approach with numerous locations, resulting in moderate cost projects that are more appropriate to seek state or federal funding. FHWA CMF Clearinghouse: Crash Types Addressed: Pedestrian and Bicycle CRF: 25 %

NS21PB, Install/upgrade pedestrian crossing at uncontrolled locations (with enhanced safety features)

	For HSIP Calls-for-projects							
Funding Eligibility Crash Types Addressed CRF Expected				Expected Life				
	100%	Pedest	rian and Bicycle	35%	20 years			
Notes:	This CM only applies	to "Ped & Bike" c	rashes occurring in the n	ew crossing (influence area) with			
	enhanced safety feat	ures. This CM is r	ot intended to be used for	or high-cost a	esthetic enhancements to			
	intersection crosswal	ks (i.e. stamped o	concrete or stamped aspl	halt).				
		Ge	neral information					
Where to us	se:							
Non-signaliz	ed intersections where p	edestrians are kno	wn to be crossing intersection	ons that involv	e significant vehicular traffic.			
They are esp	pecially important at scho	ol crossings and in	tersections with turn pocket	ts. Based on th	e Zegeer study (Safety Effects			
of Marked v	s. Unmarked Crosswalks	at Uncontrolled Lo	cations) at many locations, a	a marked cross	walk alone may not be			
sufficient to	adequately protect non-	motorized users. In	n these cases, <u>flashing beac</u>	ons, curb exte	nsions, advanced "stop" or			
"yield" mar	<u>kings, and other safety fe</u>	eatures should be a	dded to complement the st	andard crossin	g elements.			
Why it worl	(S:							
Adding pede	estrian crossings that inclu	ude enhances safet	y features has the opportur	nity to enhance	e pedestrian safety at locations			
noted as be	ing especially problemation	c. The enhanced sa	fety elements help delineat	e a portion of t	he roadway that is designated			
for pedestri	an crossing. Incorporating	g advanced "yield"	markings provide an extra s	afety buffer an	d can be effective in reducing			
the 'multiple	e-threat' danger to pedes	trians. Nearly one-	third of all pedestrian-relate	ed crashes occu	ur at or within 50 feet of an			
intersection	. When agencies opt to in	stall aesthetic enh	ancement to intersection cr	osswalks like s	tamped concrete/asphalt, the			
project desi	gn and construction costs	can significantly ir	crease. For HSIP applicatio	ns, these costs	must be accounted for in the			
B/C calculat	ion, but these costs (over	standard crosswal	k markings) must be tracked	d separately an	id are not federally			
reimbursabl	e and will increase the ag	ency's local-fundin	g share for the project costs	s.				
General Qualities (Time, Cost and Effectiveness):								
Costs associated with this strategy will vary widely, depending upon the types of enhanced features that will be combined with								
the standard crossing improvements. The need for new curb ramps and sidewalk modifications will also be a factor. This CM								
may be effectively and efficiently implemented using a systematic approach with more than one location and can have relatively								
high B/C rat	ios based on past non-mo	otorized crash histo	ry.					
FHWA CMF	Clearinghouse: Crash	Types Addressed:	Pedestrian and Bicycle	CRF: 3	7%			

NS22PB, Install Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacon (RRFB)

For HSIP Calls-for-projects							
Funding Eligibility Crash Types Addressed CRF Expected Life				Expected Life			
	100%		Pedesti	ian and Bicycle	35%	20 years	
Notes:	This CM only a	pplies t	o "Ped & Bike" c	rashes occurring in the ir	nfluence ar	ea (expected to be a	
	maximum of w	ithin 25	50') of the crossi	ng which includes the RR	FB.		
			Ge	neral information			
Where to us	se:						
Rectangular visibility of r emergency	Rapid Flashing Be narked crosswalks flashers on police	acon (RI and ale vehicles	RFB) includes pede rt motorists to pe . RRFBs are install	estrian-activated flashing lig destrian crossings. It uses a ed at unsignalized intersect	hts and add n irregular f ions and mi	itional signage that enhance the ash pattern that is similar to d-block pedestrian crossings.	
Why it worl	ks:						
RRFBs can e vehicles and increase the	RRFBs can enhance safety by increasing driver awareness of potential pedestrian conflicts and reducing crashes between vehicles and pedestrians at unsignalized intersections and mid-block pedestrian crossings. The addition of RRFB may also increase the safety effectiveness of other treatments, such as crossing warning signs and markings.						
General Qu	alities (Time, Cost	and Effe	ectiveness):				
RRFBs are a lower cost alternative to traffic signals and hybrid signals. This CM can often be effectively and efficiently							
implemente	d using a systema	tic appro	bach with numero	us locations.			
FHWA CMF	Clearinghouse:	Crash T	ypes Addressed:	Pedestrian, Bicycle	CRF:	7 – 47.4%	

B.3 Roadway Countermeasures

R01, Add Segment Lighting

For HSIP Calls-for-projects							
Funding Eligibility Crash Types Addressed CRF Expected Life							
	100%	Night	35%	20 years			
Notes:	Notes: This CM only applies to "night" crashes (all types) occurring within limits of the proposed roadway lighting 'engineered' area.						
		General information					
Where to u	se:						
roadway de characterist Why it wor Providing ro surrounding roadway ch	Where to use: Noted substantial patterns of nighttime crashes. In particular, patterns of rear-end, right-angle, turning or roadway departure collisions on the roadways may indicate that night-time drivers can be unaware of the roadway characteristics. Why it works: Providing roadway lighting improves the safety during nighttime conditions by (1) making drivers more aware of the surroundings, which improves drivers' perception-reaction times, (2) enhancing drivers' available sight distances to perceive						
General Qu	alities (Time, Cost and Eff	ectiveness):					
It expected that projects of this type may be constructed in a year or two and are relatively costly. There are several types of costs associated with providing lighting, including the cost of providing a permanent source of power to the location, the cost for the luminaire supports (i.e., poles), and the cost for routinely replacing the bulbs and maintenance of the luminaire supports. Some locations can result in high B/C ratios, but due to higher costs, these projects often result in medium to low B/C ratios.							
FHWA CMF	Clearinghouse: Crash T	ypes Addressed: Night, All	CRF: 1	8 - 69 %			

R02, Remove or relocate fixed objects outside of Clear Recovery Zone

For HSIP Calls-for-projects

Fun	nding Eligibility	Crash Types Addressed	CRF	Expected Life				
	90%	All	35%	20 years				
Notes:	This CM only applies t	o crashes occurring within the limits of	the new clear	recovery zone (per				
	Caltrans' HDM).	-						
		General information						
Where to us	se:							
Known locat	tions or roadway segment	s prone to collisions with fixed objects such	as utility poles,	drainage structures, trees, and				
other fixed o	objects, such as the outsic	le of a curve, end of lane drops, and in traff	c islands. A clea	r recovery zone should be				
developed o	on every roadway, as spac	e is available. In situations where public rig	nt-of-way is limit	ed, steps should be taken to				
request assi	stance from property own	ners, as appropriate.						
Why it work	(S:							
While this st	trategy does not prevent t	he vehicle leaving the roadway, it does pro	vide a mechanisr	n to reduce the severity of a				
resulting cra	ash. A clear zone is an und	obstructed, traversable roadside area that a	llows a driver to	stop safely or regain control of				
a vehicle that	at has left the roadway. R	emoving or moving fixed objects, flattening	slopes, or provid	ling recovery areas reduces the				
likelihood o	f a crash.							
General Qua	alities (Time, Cost and Eff	ectiveness):						
Projects invo	olving removing fixed obje	ects from highway right-of-way can typically	be accomplishe	d quickly, assuming the objects				
are readily r	noveable. Clearing object	s on private property requires more time fo	r discussions wit	h the property owner. Costs				
will generall	will generally be low, assuming that in most cases the objects to be removed are within the right-of-way. This CMs can be very							
effective an	effective and can be implemented by agencies' maintenance staff and/or implemented on a systematic approach. High-cost							
removals or	removals implemented u	sing a systematic approach would be good	candidates for Ca	altrans Federal Safety Funding.				
FHWA CMF	Clearinghouse: Crash	Types Addressed: Fixed Object	CRF: 1	7 - 100 %				

R20, Convert from two-way to one-way traffic

For HSIP Calls-for-projects							
Fur	ding Eligibility	Crash T	ypes Addressed	CRF	Expected Life		
	90%		All	35%	20 years		
Notes:	This CM only applies	to crashes occurr	ing within the limits of th	ne new one-v	vay sections.		
		Ge	neral information				
Where to us	se:						
One-way streets can offer improved signal timing and accommodate odd-spaced signals. One-way streets can simplify crossings for pedestrians, who must look for traffic in only one direction. While studies have shown that conversion of two-way streets to one-way generally reduces pedestrian crashes and the number of conflict points, one-way streets tend to have higher speeds which creates new problems. Care must be taken not to create conditions that cause driver confusion and erratic maneuvers. Why it works: Studies have shown a 10 to 50-percent reduction in total crashes after conversion of a two-way street to one-way operation. While studies have shown that con-version of two-way streets to one-way generally reduces pedestrian crashes, one-way streets tend to have higher speeds which creates new problems. At the same time, this strategy (1) increases capacity							
General Qu	alities (Time, Cost and Ef	fectiveness):					
The costs will vary depending on length of treatment and if the conversion requires modification to signals. Conversion costs can be high to build "crossovers" where the one-way streets convert back to two-way streets and to rebuild traffic signals. It's also							
likely that these types of modifications will require public involvement and could significantly add to the time it takes to							
complete th	e project. The expected	effectiveness of thi	s CM must be assessed for e	each individua	l location.		
FHWA CMF	Clearinghouse: Crash	Types Addressed:	All	CRF: 2	6 - 43 %		

R21, Improve pavement friction (High Friction Surface Treatments)

For HSIP Calls-for-projects							
Funding Eligibility Crash Types Addressed CRF Expected Life					Expected Life		
	100%		All	55%	10 years		
Notes:	This CM only appli	es to crashes occurr	ing within the limits of th	he improved	friction overlay. This CM is		
	not intended to ap	ply to standard chip	-seal or open-graded <u>ma</u>	aintenance p	projects for long segments of		
	corridors or structu	ire repaving project	s intended to fix failed p	avement.			
		Ge	neral information				
Where to u	se:						
Nationally, 1	this countermeasure is	referred to as "High F	riction Surface Treatments	" or HFST. Ar	eas as noted having crashes on		
wet paveme	ents or under dry condi	tions when the paven	nent friction available is sig	nificantly less	than actual roadway speeds;		
including bu	it not limited to curves	, loop ramps, intersec	tions, and areas with short	stopping or w	veaving distances. This		
treatment is	s intended to target loc	ations where skidding	g is determined to be a prof	olem, in wet d	or dry conditions and the target		
Venicle is or	ie that runs (skids) off	the road or is unable t	o stop due to insufficient si	kid resistance			
why it wor	KS:						
Improving t	he skid resistance at lo	cations with high freq	uencies of wet-road crashe	s and/or failu	re to stop crashes can result in		
a reduction	of 50 percent for wet-	oad crashes and 20 p	ercent for total crashes. Ap	oplying HEST	can double friction numbers,		
e.g. low 40s	to high 80s. This CM r	epresents a special fo	cus area for both FHWA an	d Caltrans, w	hich means there are extra		
resources a	vailable for agencies in	terested in more deta	ils on High Friction Surface	Treatment pr	ojects.		
General Qu	alities (Time, Cost and	Effectiveness):					
This strategy can be relatively inexpensive and implemented in a short timeframe. The installation would be done by either							
agency personnel or contractors and can be done by hand or machine. In general, This CM can be very effective and can be							
considered	on a systematic approa	ch.					
FHWA CMF	Clearinghouse: Cra	sh Types Addressed:	Wet, Rear-End, All	CRF:	17 - 68 %		

R22, Install/Upgrade signs with new fluorescent sheeting (regulatory or warning)

For HSIP Calls-for-projects								
Funding Eligibility Crash Types Addressed CRF Expected Li					Expected Life			
100% All 15% 10 years					10 years			
Notes:	This CM only a	applies t	o crashes occurr	ing within the influence	area of the	new/upgraded signs. This		
	CM is not inte	nded fo	r maintenance u	pgrades of street-name,	parking, gi	ide, or any other signs		
	without a prim	hary foc	us on roadway sa	afety. This CM is not elig	gible unles	it is done as part of a larger		
	sign audit proj	ect, incl	luding the study	of: 1) the existing signs' l	ocations, s	izes and information per		
	MUTCD standa	ards, 2)	missing signs pe	r MUTCD standards, and	sign ret	oreflectivity. The overall sign		
	audit scope (o	r a spec	ial exception fro	m the HSIP program mar	nager) mus	t be documented in the		
	Narrative Que	stions ir	n the application	. Based on the scope of	the project	/audit, it may be appropriate		
	to combine ot	her CM	s in the B/C calcu	lation.				
			Ge	neral information				
Where to us	se:							
The target for	or this strategy sh	ould be	on roadway segme	ents with patterns of head of	on, nighttim	e, non-intersection, run-off road,		
and sideswij	pe crashes related	d to lack	of driver awarenes	ss of the presence of a spec	ific roadway	feature or regulatory		
requirement	t. Ideally this type	e of safet	ty CIVI would be co	mbined with other sign eva	ILLTCD stand	a upgrades (Install chevrons,		
Why it work	(s:	arkers, b		ation of existing signs per iv				
This strategy	/ primarily addres	ses cras	hes caused by lack	of driver awareness (or cor	mpliance) ro	adway signing. It is intended to		
get the drive	ers attention and	give ther	m a visual warning	by using fluorescent yellow	v sheeting (o	or other retroreflective material).		
General Qua	alities (Time, Cost	t and Eff	ectiveness):					
Signing impr	ovements do not	require	a long developme	nt process and can typically	be implem	ented quickly. Costs for		
implementir	ng this strategy ar	e nomin	al and depend on t	the number of signs. When	considered	at a single location, these low		
cost improv	ements are usuall	ly funded	through local fun	ding by local maintenance (crews. How	ever, This CM can be effectively		
and efficient	cly implemented i	using a sy	ystematic approac	n with numerous locations,	resulting in	moderate cost projects that are		
California lo	more appropriate to seek state or federal funding. When considering any type of federally funded sign upgrade project,							
RSSAs in the	RSSAs in the development phase of sign projects are expected to identify non-standard (per MUTCD) sign features and missing							
signs that m	ay otherwise go ι	unnotice	d. More informati	on on RSSA is available on t	he Local As	istance HSIP webpage.		
FHWA CMF	Clearinghouse:	Crash T	ypes Addressed:	Head on, Run-off road, Sideswipe, Night	CRF:	18 - 35%		

R27, Install delineators, reflectors and/or object markers

For HSIP Calls-for-projects							
Funding Eligibility Crash Types Addressed CRF Expected Life							
	100%		All	15%	10 years		
Notes:	This CM only ap	plies to crashes occurr	ring within the limits / in	fluence are	a of the new features. { This is		
	not a striping-r	<u>elated CM</u> }					
		Ge	neral information				
Where to us	se:						
Roadways t	hat have an unacce	ptable level of crashes or	n curves (relatively flat to s	harp) during	periods of light and darkness.		
Any road wi	th a history of fixed	d object crashes is a candi	idate for this treatment, as	are roadwa	ys with similar fixed objects along		
the roadside	e that have yet to e	experience crashes. If a fix	ed object cannot be relocation	ited or made	break-away, placing an object		
marker can	provide additional	information to motorists.	. Ideally this type of safety	CM would b	e combined with other sign		
evaluations	and upgrades (inst	all warning signs, chevror	ns, beacons, and relocation	of existing s	igns per MUTCD standards.)		
Why it worl	(S:						
Delineators,	reflectors and/or o	object markers are intend	led to warn drivers of an a	pproaching c	urve or fixed object that cannot		
easily be rer	noved. They are in	ntended to provide tracki	ing information and guidan	ce to the dri	vers. They are generally less		
costly than	Chevron Signs as th	ey don't require posts to	place along the roadside,	avoiding an a	idditional object with which an		
errant vehic	le can crash into.	1.500					
General Qu	alities (Time, Cost a	and Effectiveness):			atad aviable. Casta far		
implementi	overnents do not re	equire a long developmen	t process and can typically	be impleme	nted quickly. Costs for		
Implementin	ig this strategy are	ally funded through loca	I funding by local maintant		However This CM can be		
offectively a	nd efficiently imple	amonted using a systema	tic approach with numerou	is locations	resulting in low to moderate cost		
projects that	t are more appropr	riate to seek state or fede	aral funding When conside	as locations, pring any typ	e of federally funded sign		
ungrade project. California local agencies are encouraged to consider "Poadway Safety Signing Audit (PSCA) and Ungrade							
Projects".	Projects" Including RSSAs in the development phase of sign projects are expected to identify non-standard (ner MUTCD) sign						
features and missing signs that may otherwise go unnoticed. More information on RSSA is available on the Local Assistance							
HSIP webpa	ge.						
FHWA CMF	Clearinghouse:	Crash Types Addressed:	All	CRF:	0 - 30 %		

R28, Install edge-lines and centerlines

For HSIP Calls-for-projects							
Fun	ding Eligibility	Crash Types Addressed	CRF	Expected Life			
	100% All 25% 10 years						
Notes:	This CM only applies t	o crashes occurring within the limits of th	ne new center	lines and/or edge-lines.			
	This CM is not intende	ed to be used for general maintenance ac	tivities (i.e. th	e replacement of existing			
	striping and RPMs in-l	kind) and must include upgraded safety fe	eatures over t	he existing striping. For			
	two lane roadways all	owing passing, a striping audit must be d	one to ensure	e the passing limits meeting			
	the MUTCD standards	. Both the centerline and edge-lines are	expected to b	e upgraded, unless prior			
	approval is granted by	 Caltrans staff in writing and attached to 	application.				
		General information					
Where to us	se:						
Any road wi	th a history of run-off-roa	d right, head-on, opposite-direction-sideswipe	e, or run-off-roa	ad-left crashes is a candidate			
for this treat	tment - install where the e	existing lane delineation is not sufficient to ass	sist the motoris	st in understanding the			
existing limit	ts of the roadway. Depend	ling on the width of the roadway, various com	binations of e	dge line and/or center line			
pavement m	narkings may be the most	appropriate. Incorporating raised/reflective p	bavement mark	ers (RPMs) into centerlines			
(and edge-li	nes) should be considered	as it has been shown to improve safety.					
why it work	(S:	whore none evicts or making significant ungrad	loc to ovicting l	ince (paint to thermonlastic			
adding audi	ble disks/humps in the the	prophetic stripes or adding RPMs) are inten	ded/designed t	to help drivers who might			
leave the ro	adway because of their in	ability to see the edge of the roadway along the	he horizontal e	dge of the pavement or cross-			
over the cen	iterline of the roadway int	to oncoming traffic. New pavement marking p	roducts tend to	be more durable, are all-			
weather, mo	, ore visible, and have a hig	ner retroreflectivity than traditional pavemen	t markings.	,			
General Qua	alities (Time, Cost and Eff	ectiveness):	-				
These impro	ovements do not require a	long development process and can typically b	oe implemente	d quickly. Costs for			
implementir	ng this strategy are nomin	al and depend on the number and length of lo	ocations. This (CM can be effectively and			
efficiently in	nplemented using a syster	natic approach with numerous and long locat	ions, resulting	in low to moderate cost			
projects that	t are more appropriate to	seek state or federal funding. When consider	ring any type o	f federally funded striping			
upgrade pro	upgrade project, California local agencies are encouraged to consider "Roadway Safety Striping Audit and Upgrade Projects".						
Including wi	Including wide-scale striping audits in the development phase of striping projects are expected to identify non-standard (per						
MUTCD) striping/marking features, no-passing zone limits needing adjustment, and missing striping/markings that may							
otherwise go	o unnoticea. More inform	ration on this concepts is available on the Loca	ai Assistance H	locations, the local agency is			
example doo	maintain the improvement	tal safety fulluling is used for these installation of 10 years	is in flight-wear	-iocations, the local agency is			
FHWA CMF	Clearinghouse: Crash T	vpes Addressed: Head-on, Run-off Road, A	II CRF: 0	- 44 %			
			0 0				

R33PB, Install Separated Bike Lanes

For HSIP Calls-for-projects							
Funding Eligibility Crash Types Addressed CRF Expected Life					Expected Life		
	90%		Pedestr	ian and Bicycle	45%	20 years	
Notes:	This CM only a	applies t	o "Ped & Bike" c	rashes occurring within t	the limits of t	he separated bike lanes.	
	When an off-s	treet bi	ke-path is propos	sed that is not adjacent t	o the roadwa	ay, the applicant must	
	document the	engine	ering judgment u	sed to determine which	"Ped & Bike"	crashes to apply.	
			Ge	neral information			
Where to us	se:						
Separated b	ikeways are most	approp	riate on streets wit	h high volumes of bike traff	fic and/or high	bike-vehicle collisions,	
presumably	in an urban or su	burban a	area. Separation ty	pes range from simple, pair	nted buffers an	nd flexible delineators, to more	
substantial s	separation measu	res inclu	ding raised curbs,	grade separation, bollards,	planters, and p	parking lanes. These options	
range in fea	sibility due to roa	dway ch	aracteristics, availa	ble space, and cost. In som	ie cases, it may	be possible to provide	
additional s	bace in areas whe	ere pedes	strian and bicyclists	s may interact, such as the p	parking buffer,	or loading zones, or extra bike	
lane width f	or cyclists to pass	one and	other.				
Why it work	(S:						
Separated b	ike lanes provide	increase	d safety and comf	ort for bicyclists beyond cor	nventional bicy	cle lanes. By separating	
bicyclists fro	m motor traffic,	"protecte	ed" or physically se	parated bike lanes can offe	er a higher leve	el of comfort and are attractive	
to a wider s	pectrum of the pu	ublic. Inte	ersections and app	roaches must be carefully c	designed to pro	pmote safety and facilitate left-	
turns for bic	yclists from the p	rimary c	orridor to cross str	eet.			
In combinat	ion with this CM,	better g	uidance signs and i	markings for non-motorized	and motorize	d roadway users should be	
considered,	including: sign ar	id markir	ngs directing cyclist	s on appropriate/legal trav	vel paths and si	gns and markings warning	
motorists of	non-motorized L	ises of th	e roadway that sh	ouid be expected.			
General Qua	alities (Time, Cos		ectiveness):				
The cost of I	nstalling separate	ed bike la	anes can be low to	medium or high, dependin	ng on whether	roadway widening, right-of-	
way and environmental impacts are involved. It is most cost efficient to create bike lanes during street reconstruction, street							
resurtacing,	resurfacing, or at the time of original construction. The expected effectiveness of this CM must be assessed for each individual						
	Clearinghouse	Crach 7	where a darage de	Dedectrian Dicucle		7 100 %	
FRWA CIVIF	Clearinghouse:	Crash I	ypes Addressed:	Pedestrian, Bicycle	CKF: 3	.7 - 100 %	

R34PB, Install sidewalk/pathway (to avoid walking along roadway)

For HSIP Calls-for-projects									
Funding Eligibility			Crash Types Addressed		CRF	Expected Life			
90%			Pedestrian and Bicycle		80%	20 years			
Notes:	This CM only applies to "Ped & Bike" crashes occurring within the limits of the new walkway. This CM is not intended to be used where an existing sidewalk is being replaced with a wider one, unless prior Caltrans approval is included in the application. When an off-street multi-use path is proposed that is not adjacent to the roadway, the applicant must document the engineering judgment used to determine which "Ped & Bike" crashes to apply.								
General information									
Where to use:									
Areas noted as not having adequate or no sidewalks and a history of walking along roadway pedestrian crashes. In rural areas asphalt curbs and/or separated walkways may be appropriate.									
Why it works:									
Sidewalks and walkways provide people with space to travel within the public right-of-way that is separated from roadway vehicles. The presence of sidewalks on both sides of the street has been found to be related to significant reductions in the "walking along roadway" pedestrian crash risk compared to locations where no sidewalks or walkways exist. Reductions of 50 to 90 percent of these types of pedestrian crashes. In combination with this CM, better guidance signs and markings for non-motorized and motorized roadway users should be considered, including: sign and markings directing pedestrians and cyclists on appropriate/legal travel paths and signs and markings warning motorists of non-motorized uses of the roadway that should be expected.									
General Qualities (Time, Cost and Effectiveness):									
Costs for sidewalks will vary, depending upon factors such as width, materials, and existing of curb, gutter and drainage. Asphalt curbs and walkways are less expensive, but require more maintenance. The expected effectiveness of this CM must be assessed for each individual location. These projects can be very effective in areas of high-pedestrian volumes with a past history of crashes involving pedestrians.									
FHWA CMF	Clearinghouse:	Crash T	ypes Addressed:	Pedestrian, Bicycle	CRF:	65 - 89 %			

R35PB, Install/upgrade pedestrian crossing (with enhanced safety features)

For HSIP Calls-for-projects										
Funding Eligibility			Crash Types Addressed		CRF	Expected Life				
90%		Pedestrian and Bicycle		35%	20 years					
Notes:	This CM only applies to "Ped & Bike" crashes occurring in the influence area (expected to be a									
	maximum of within 250') of the new crossing which includes new enhanced safety features. Note:									
	This CM is not intended to be combined with the "Install raised pedestrian crossing" when calculating									
	the improvement's B/C ratio. This CM is not intended to be used for high-cost aesthetic enhancements									
	(i.e. stamped concrete or stamped asphalt).									
General information										
Where to use:										
Roadway segments with no controlled crossing for a significant distance in high-use midblock crossing areas and/or multilane										
roads locations. Based on the Zegeer study (Safety Effects of Marked vs. Unmarked Crosswalks at Uncontrolled Locations) at										
many locations, a marked crosswalk alone may not be sufficient to adequately protect non-motorized users. In these cases,										
flashing beacons, curb extensions, medians and pedestrian crossing islands and/or other safety features should be added to										
complement the standard crossing elements. For multi-lane roadways, advance "yield" markings can be effective in reducing										
the 'multiple-threat' danger to pedestrians.										
Why it works:										
Adding pedestrian crossings has the opportunity to greatly enhance pedestrian safety at locations noted as being problematic.										
Ine enhanced safety elements, which may include curb extensions, medians and pedestrian crossing islands, beacons, and										
ignting, combined with pavement markings delineating a portion of the roadway that is designated for pedestrian crossing.										
the crossing increase the likelihood of nedestrians crossing in a safe manner. In combination with this CM, better guidance signs										
and markings for non-motorized and motorized roadway users should be considered including sign and markings directing										
pedestrians and cyclists on appropriate/legal travel paths and signs. When agencies opt to install aesthetic enhancement to										
crossing like stamped concrete/asphalt, the project design and construction costs can significantly increase. For HSIP										
applications, these costs must be accounted for in the B/C calculation, but these costs (over standard crosswalk markings) must										
be tracked separately and are not federally reimbursable and will increase the agency's local-funding share for the project costs.										
General Qualities (Time, Cost and Effectiveness):										
Costs associated with this strategy will vary widely, depending on the extent of the curb extensions, raised medians, flashing										
beacons, and other pedestrian safety elements that are needed with the crossing. When considered at a single location, these										
improvements can sometimes be low cost and funded through local funding by local crews. This CM can often be effectively										
and efficiently implemented using a systematic approach with numerous locations, resulting in moderate to high cost projects										
that are appropriate to seek state or federal funding.										
FHWA CMF	Clearinghouse:	Crash T	ypes Addressed:	Pedestrian, Bicycle	CRF:	8 - 56%				